arXiv:1406.7590v1 [math.AC] 30 Jun 2014

A SURVEY ON THE LYUBEZNIK NUMBERS

LUIS NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT, EMILY E. WITT, AND WENLIANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The Lyubeznik numbers are invariants of a local ring containing a field that capture ring-theoretic properties, but also have numerous connections to geometry and topology. We discuss basic properties of these integer-valued invariants, as well as describe some significant results and recent developments (including certain generalizations) in the area.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
3. Definition and first properties 5
4. The highest Lyubeznik number 6
5. Lyubeznik numbers for projective schemes 9
6. Further geometric and topological properties 11
7. Generalized Lyubeznik numbers 12
8. Lyubeznik numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings 15
9. Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic 19
Acknowledgments 21
References 21

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of the Lyubeznik numbers [Lyu93], the study of these invariants of local rings containing a field has grown in several compelling directions. One aim of this paper is to present definitions used in the study of the Lyubeznik numbers, along with examples and applications of these invariants, to those new to them. For those familiar with them, we also present recent results on, and generalizations of, the Lyubeznik numbers, as well as open problems in the area.

Given a module M over a ring S, if $I^\bullet$ is a minimal injective resolution of M, then each I^i is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable injective modules $E_S(S/\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of S; *i.e.*, injective hulls over S of $S/\mathfrak{p}$. The number of copies of $E_S(S/\mathfrak{p})$ in I^i is the *i-th Bass number of M with respect to* $\mathfrak{p}$, denoted $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ and equals $\dim_{S_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}S_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(S_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}S_\mathfrak{p}, M_\mathfrak{p})$.

Huneke and Sharp proved that if S is a regular ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and I is an ideal of S, then the Bass numbers of the local cohomology modules of the form $H^j_I(S)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are finite, raising the analogous question in the characteristic zero case [HS93]. Utilizing D-module theory, Lyubeznik proved the same statement for regular local rings of characteristic zero containing a field [Lyu93].

Relying on the finiteness of the Bass numbers of local cohomology modules, Lyubeznik introduced a family of integer-valued invariants associated to a local ring containing a field, now

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13N10, 13H99.
The third author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS #1247354/#1068946.

called *Lyubeznik numbers*. They are defined as follows: Suppose that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is a local ring admitting a surjection from an n-dimensional regular local ring $(S, \mathfrak{n}, K)$ containing a field, and let I denote the kernel of the surjection. Given $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, the *Lyubeznik number of R with respect to $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$*, is defined as $\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S \left(K, H^{n-j}_I(S)\right)$, and is denoted $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$. Notably, this invariant depends only on R, i, and j; in particular, it is independent of the choice of S and of the surjection. Moreover, if R is any local ring containing a field, then defining $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$ as $\lambda_{i,j}(\widehat{R})$, where $\widehat{R}$ denotes the completion of R at $\mathfrak{m}$, extends the definition.

Lyubeznik numbers are indicators of certain ring-theoretic properties. For example, let R be a local ring containing a field, and let $d = \dim(R)$. Then $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$ equals the number of connected components of the Hochster-Huneke graph of the completion of the strict Henselization of R [Lyu06a, Zha07]. Consequently,

(1) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) \neq 0$ for $d = \dim(R)$ [Lyu93];
(2) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ if R is Cohen-Macaulay [Kaw02], or even if R satisfies Serre's condition S_2 (*cf.* Theorem 4.6); and
(3) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ if R is analytically normal [Lyu93].

Strikingly, the Lyubeznik numbers, which are defined in a purely algebraic context, have extensive connections with geometry and topology. For example, if R is the local ring of an isolated singularity of a complex space of pure dimension at least two, then every Lyubeznik number of R equals the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space dimension of a certain singular cohomology group [GLS98]. Blickle and Bondu give similar connections between Lyubeznik numbers and étale cohomology in the positive characteristic setting [BB05], which was generalized by Blickle in [Bli07].

The Lyubeznik numbers of a Stanley-Reisner ring capture properties of the associated simplicial and combinatorial structure [ÀM00, ÀM04a, ÀMGLZA03, Mus00, ÀMV14, Yan01a]. For instance, the Lyubeznik numbers are a measure of the acyclicity of certain linear strands of the Alexander dual of the simplicial complex associated to the ring. In addition, there are several algorithms for computing the Lyubeznik numbers of these rings [ÀM00, ÀMV14].

For rings of characteristic zero, there are algorithms for computing Lyubeznik numbers that employ the D-module structure of local cohomology modules [Wal99, ÀML06]. In [ÀM00, ÀML06], these numbers are computed as multiplicities of the characteristic cycles associated to certain local cohomology modules.

Due to their wide range of applications, several variants and generalizations of the Lyubeznik numbers have been created. Analogous invariants of a simplicial normal Gorenstein semigroup ring modulo a squarefree monomial ideal are proven well defined in [Yan01a]. There is a version for projective varieties over a field of prime characteristic, and it is not known whether the definition can be extended to the equal characteristic zero case [Zha11]. In characteristic zero, there is a family of invariants closely related to the Lyubeznik numbers defined in terms of certain characteristic cycle multiplicities from D-module theory [ÀM04b]. For all local rings containing a field, there is a generalization of the Lyubeznik numbers defined using D-modules, with several ideals and a coefficient field as parameters; these invariants can be used to measure singularities in positive characteristic [Bli04, NBW14]. Recently, an alternate version of the Lyubeznik numbers has been defined for, in particular, local rings of mixed characteristic [NBW13].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Local cohomology.** Before defining the Lyubeznik numbers, we need to introduce *local cohomology modules*. We include some results on this topic that will be used as tools later in this survey. Please see, among many beautiful references, [BS98, ILL+07, Lyu02] for more details.

Let S be a Noetherian ring, and fix $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in S$. Consider the Čech-like complex, $\check{\mathrm{C}}^\bullet(\underline{f}; S)$:

$$0 \to S \to \bigoplus_{1\le i\le \ell} S_{f_i} \to \bigoplus_{1\le i<j\le \ell} S_{f_i f_j} \to \cdots \to S_{f_1\cdots f_\ell} \to 0,$$

where $\check{\mathrm{C}}^i(\underline{f}; S) = \bigoplus_{1\le j_1<\ldots<j_i\le \ell} S_{f_{j_1}\cdots f_{j_i}}$, and on each summand, the homomorphism $\check{\mathrm{C}}^i(\underline{f}; S) \to \check{\mathrm{C}}^{i+1}(\underline{f}; S)$ is a localization map with an appropriate sign. For instance, if $\ell = 2$, the complex is

$$0 \to S \to S_{f_1} \oplus S_{f_2} \to S_{f_1 f_2} \to 0,$$

where $S \to S_{f_1} \oplus S_{f_2}$ maps $s \mapsto (\frac{s}{1}, \frac{s}{1})$ and $S_{f_1} \oplus S_{f_2} \to S_{f_1 f_2}$ maps $\left(\frac{s}{f_1^\alpha}, \frac{r}{f_2^\beta}\right) \mapsto \frac{s}{f_1^\alpha} - \frac{r}{f_2^\beta}$. We point out that

$$\check{\mathrm{C}}^\bullet(\underline{f}; S) = \varinjlim_t \mathcal{K}(\underline{f}^t; S),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}^t; S)$ is the *Koszul complex* associated to $f_1^t \dots, f_\ell^t$, and the map $\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}^t; S) \to \mathcal{K}(\underline{f}^{t+1}; S)$ is induced by $S/(f_1^t, \dots f_\ell^t) \xrightarrow{\cdot f_1\cdots f_\ell} S/(f_1^{t+1}, \dots f_\ell^{t+1})$.

Definition 2.1 (Local cohomology)**.** Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_\ell)$ be an ideal of a Noetherian ring S, let M be an S-module, and fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the *i-th local cohomology of M with support in I*, denoted $H^i_I(M)$, as the i-th cohomology of the complex $\check{\mathrm{C}}^\bullet(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M$; *i.e.*,

$$H^i_I(M) := H^i(\check{\mathrm{C}}^\bullet(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\left(\check{\mathrm{C}}^i(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M \to \check{\mathrm{C}}^{i+1}(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M\right)}{\operatorname{Im}\left(\check{\mathrm{C}}^{i-1}(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M \to \check{\mathrm{C}}^i(\underline{f}; S) \otimes_S M\right)}$$

Remark 2.2. The local cohomology module $H^i_I(M)$ does not depend on the choice of generators for I, $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$. Moreover, it depends only on the radical of I; *i.e.*, $H^i_I(M) = H^i_{\sqrt{I}}(M)$. For this reason, if $X = \operatorname{Spec} S$ is the affine scheme associated to S and $Z = \mathbb{V}(I) = \{P \in X \mid I \subseteq P\}$ is the Zariski closed subset defined by I, then $H^i_I(M)$ can be written as $H^i_Z(M)$.

Note that there are several ways to define local cohomology. In fact, the definition we chose is not the most natural, but will be advantageous in our discussion due to the interactions between the cited complex $\check{\mathrm{C}}^\bullet(\underline{f}; S)$ and D-modules (see Section 2.2). The local cohomology module $H^i_I(M)$ can also be defined as the i-th right derived functor of $\Gamma_I(-)$, where $\Gamma_I(M) = \{v \in M \mid I^j v = 0 \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It can also be defined as the direct limit $\varinjlim_t \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(S/I^t, M)$, whose maps are induced by the natural surjections $S/I^{t+1} \twoheadrightarrow S/I^t$.

Next, we collect some basic facts on local cohomology modules that will be used later.

Remark 2.3 (Graded Local Duality). Let K be a field, and consider $R = K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ under the standard grading; *i.e.*, $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for $0 \le i \le n$. If f is a homogeneous polynomial in R and M is a graded R-module, then M_f is naturally a graded R-module. Hence, it follows from Definition 2.1 that each local cohomology module $H^j_I(M)$ is naturally graded whenever M is graded and I is homogeneous. If $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is the homogeneous maximal ideal of R, then for each finitely generated graded R-module M, there is a functorial isomorphism

$$H^t_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)_\ell \cong \operatorname{Hom}_K\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1-t}_R(M, R(-n-1))_{-\ell}, K\right)$$

for all integers t and ℓ (*cf.* [BS98, 13.4.6]).

For any graded R-module M, the graded Matlis dual $D(M)$ of M is defined to be the graded R-module defined by $D(M)_\ell = \operatorname{Hom}_K(M_{-\ell}, K)$. Graded Local Duality states that there is a degree-preserving isomorphism

$$H^t_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong D\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1-t}_R(M, R(-n-1))\right)$$

for all integers t and all finitely generated graded R-modules M. See [BS98, 13.3 and 13.4] for details.

Remark 2.4 (Connection between local cohomology and sheaf cohomology). Take R as in Remark 2.3. Let M be a finitely generated graded R-module, and let $\widetilde{M}$ be the sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^n$ associated to M. Then there are a functorial isomorphisms (*cf.* [Eis95, A4.1], [ILL+07, Lecture 13])

$$H^t_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} H^{t-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, \widetilde{M}(\ell)) \text{ when } t \geq 2,$$

and an exact sequence (functorial in M) of degree-preserving maps

$$0 \to H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to M \to \bigoplus_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \widetilde{M}(\ell)) \to H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \to 0.$$

Remark 2.5 (Mayer-Vietoris sequence for local cohomology). Given ideals I and J of a Noetherian ring R, and an R-module M, there exists a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^0_{I+J}(M) \to H^0_I(M) \oplus H^0_J(M) \to H^0_{I\cap J}(M) \\ \to H^1_{I+J}(M) \to H^1_I(M) \oplus H^1_J(M) \to H^1_{I\cap J}(M) \to \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

functorial in M.

Remark 2.6. The local cohomology modules $H^i_I(M)$ are usually not finitely generated, even when M is. For instance, if $(S, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is an n-dimensional regular local ring, then $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(S) \cong E_S(K)$, the injective hull of K over S, which is not finitely generated unless S is a field.

2.2. **D-modules.** Let R be a Noetherian ring, and let $S = R[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$. Let $D(S, R)$ denote the ring of R-linear differential operators of S, the subring of $\mathrm{End}_R(S)$ given by

$$D(S, R) = S\left\langle \frac{1}{t!}\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_1^t}, \ldots, \frac{1}{t!}\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_n^t} \right\rangle_{t\in\mathbb{N}},$$

where $\frac{1}{t!}\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t}$ is the $R[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n]\!]$-linear endomorphism of S induced by

$$\frac{1}{t!}\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t} \cdot x_j^v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t > v, \text{and} \\ \binom{v}{t}\, x^{v-t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For example, when $t = 1$, $\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f$ is the formal derivative of f with respect to x_i.

Since $D(S, R) \subseteq \mathrm{End}_R(S)$, $\theta \in D(S, R)$ acts on $s \in S$ by $\theta \cdot s = \theta(s)$. In addition, $D(S, R)$ also acts naturally on S_f for every $f \in S$, and with this action, the localization map $S \to S_f$ is a homomorphism of $D(S, R)$-modules (see [Lyu93, Lyu00a] for details). If $t = 1$, this action is given by the quotient rule for derivatives. As a consequence, the local cohomology modules are $D(S, R)$-modules. Moreover, for all $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and all ideals $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$ of S, the iterated local cohomology modules $H^{i_\ell}_{I_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{I_2} H^{i_1}_{I_1}(S)$ are also $D(S, R)$-modules [Lyu93].

When $R = K$ is a field of characteristic zero, it was proved in [Bjö79] that each localization S_f has finite length as a $D(S, K)$-module. Consequently, each local cohomology module of the form $H^{i_\ell}_{I_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{I_2} H^{i_1}_{I_1}(S)$, where $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$ are ideals of S, also has finite length as a $D(S, K)$-module. In [Lyu93], Lyubeznik used this fact to show that the Bass numbers $\dim_K \mathrm{Ext}^i_S(K, H^j_I(S))$ are finite for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all ideals $I \subseteq S$. Subsequently, the generalized these results to fields of positive characteristic in [Lyu97, Lyu00a].

2.3. **A key functor.** Here, we introduce a functor motivated by work of Kashiwara and used by Lyubeznik to prove that the Lyubeznik numbers are well defined [Lyu93]. Further properties of this functor were developed by the first two authors to define the generalized Lyubeznik numbers [NBW14]. Let K be a field, let $R = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$, and let $S = R[\![x_{n+1}]\!]$. Let G denote the functor from the category of R-modules to that of S-modules given by, for an R-module M,

$$G(M) = M \otimes_R S_{x_{n+1}}/S.$$

This functor satisfies the following properties; we refer to [NBW14, Section 3] for details.

Properties 2.7. With G the functor defined above, the following hold.

(1) G is an equivalence of categories from the category of $D(R, K)$-modules to that of $D(S, K)$-modules supported at $\mathbb{V}(x_{n+1}S)$, the Zariski closed set given by x_{n+1}, with inverse functor $N \mapsto \operatorname{Ann}_N(xS)$;
(2) M is a finitely generated R-module if and only if $G(M)$ is a finitely generated $D(S, K)$-module;
(3) M is an injective R-module if and only if $G(M)$ is an injective S-module;
(4) $\operatorname{Ass}_S G(M) = \{(P, x_{n+1})S \mid P \in \operatorname{Ass}_R M\}$;
(5) $G\left(H^j_I(M)\right) = H^{j+1}_{(I,x)S}(M \otimes_R S)$ for every ideal $I \subseteq R$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$;
(6) $G\left(H^{j_s}_{I_s} \cdots H^{j_2}_{I_2} H^{j_1}_{I_1}(M)\right) \cong H^{j_s}_{(I_s,x_{n+1})S} \cdots H^{j_2}_{(I_2,x_{n+1})S} H^{j_1+1}_{(I_1,x_{n+1})S}(M \otimes_R S)$ for all ideals I_i of R and all $j_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$; and
(7) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_S(M, G(N)) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N)$ for all R-modules M and N, and all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, this functor preserves certain properties over rings of differential operators. Namely,

(1′) M is a finitely generated $D(R, K)$-module if and only if $G(M)$ is a finitely generated $D(S, K)$-module, and
(2′) $\operatorname{length}_{D(R,K)} M = \operatorname{length}_{D(S,K)} G(M)$.

3. Definition and first properties

Referring to local cohomology modules, which were reviewed in Section 2.1, we may now define the Lyubeznik numbers [Lyu93].

Theorem/Definition 3.1 (Lyubeznik numbers)**.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a local ring admitting a surjection from an n-dimensional regular local ring (S, η, K) containing a field, $\pi : S \twoheadrightarrow R$. Let $I = \ker(\pi)$. The *Lyubeznik number of R with respect to $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$* is defined as

$$\lambda_{i,j}(R) := \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S\left(K, H^{n-j}_I(S)\right),$$

and depends only on R, i, and j; *i.e.*, this number is independent of the choice of S and of π. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is *any* local ring containing a field, then $\lambda_{i,j}(R) := \lambda_{i,j}(\widehat{R})$, where $\widehat{R}$ is the completion of R with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$.

Sketch of proof. We have that $\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(K, H^{n-j}_I(S))$ is finite [Lyu93, HS93], so it remains to prove that these numbers are well defined. Let $\pi' : S' \twoheadrightarrow R$ be another surjection, where S' is a regular local ring of dimension n' that contains a field. Set $I' = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi')$, and let $\mathfrak{m}'$ be the maximal ideal of S'. Since the Bass numbers with respect to the maximal ideal are not affected by completion, we may assume that R, S, and S' are complete, so that we can take $S = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$ and $S' = K[\![y_1, \dots, y_{n'}]\!]$. Let $S'' = K[\![z_1, \dots, z_{n+n'}]\!]$, and let $\pi'' : S'' \twoheadrightarrow R$ be the surjective map defined by $\pi''(z_j) = \pi(x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\pi''(z_j) = \pi'(y_{j-n})$ for $n \leq j \leq n + n'$. Let I'' be the preimage of I under π'', respectively. By using Properties 2.7 (5)

and (7), we obtain:

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{S''}(K, H^{n+n'-j}_{I''}(S'')) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(K, H^{n-j}_I(S)) \text{ and}$$
$$\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{S''}(K, H^{n+n'-j}_{I''}(S'')) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{S'}(K, H^{n'-j}_{I'}(S')),$$

and we are done. □

Some basic properties of the Lyubeznik numbers are the following [Lyu93].

Properties 3.2. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is a d-dimensional local ring containing a field, then the following hold.

(1) $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = 0$ if either $j > d$ or $i > j$,
(2) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) \neq 0$, and
(3) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ if R is analytically normal.

Due to Property 3.2 (1), we can record all nonzero Lyubeznik numbers in the following matrix.

Definition 3.3 (Lyubeznik table)**.** The *Lyubeznik table of* R is defined as the following $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix:

$$\Lambda(R) := (\lambda_{i,j}(R))_{0 \leq i,j \leq d} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{0,0}(R) & \lambda_{0,1}(R) & \cdots & \lambda_{0,d-2}(R) & \lambda_{0,d-1}(R) & \lambda_{0,d}(R) \\ 0 & \lambda_{1,1}(R) & \cdots & \lambda_{1,d-2}(R) & \lambda_{1,d-1}(R) & \lambda_{1,d}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \lambda_{d-2,d-2}(R) & \lambda_{d-2,d-1}(R) & \lambda_{d-2,d}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{d-1,d-1}(R) & \lambda_{d-1,d}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{d,d}(R) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that all entries below the diagonal are zero by Properties 3.2 (1).

There are algorithms to compute Lyubeznik numbers in certain cases in characteristic zero, which rely on the D-module structure of local cohomology modules [Wal99, ÀML06].

4. The highest Lyubeznik number

Some basic properties of the Lyubeznik numbers are that, for a d-dimensional local ring R that contains a field, $\lambda_{d,d}(R) \neq 0$, and $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = 0$ if either $i > d$ or $j > d$. (see Properties 3.2).

Hence, the following definition is natural.

Definition 4.1 (Highest Lyubeznik number)**.** Given a d-dimensional local ring R that contains a field, $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ is called the *highest Lyubeznik number of* R.

Consider the following simple example.

Example 4.2. Let R be a d-dimensional complete local ring containing a field. Suppose that R can be written as S/I where $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring that contains field and I is an ideal of S such that

$$H^j_I(S) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } j = \operatorname{ht}(I). \tag{4.1}$$

One can see that the spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q} = H^p_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^q_I(S)) \underset{p}{\Rightarrow} H^{p+q}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S) = E_\infty^{p,q}$ degenerates at the E_2-page and, hence, we have that

$$\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = d, \text{and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Ideals I that satisfy (4.1) are called *cohomologically complete intersection ideals* [HS08]. Examples of cohomologically complete intersection ideals include ideals generated by regular sequences, and Cohen-Macaulay ideals in positive characteristic.

We say that a ring has *a trivial Lyubeznik table* if the entries of its Lyubeznik table follow the formula given in (4.2). There are rings that are not cohomologically complete intersection and still have a trivial Lyubeznik table, *e.g.* sequentially Cohen-Macaulay rings in prime characteristic [ÀM14].

Example 4.2 indicates that the highest Lyubeznik number, $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$, stands out in that it is never zero, but all other Lyubeznik numbers may vanish. Our goal of this section is to give a characterization of $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$. To this end, we will consider the Hochster-Huneke graph of a local ring [HH94a, Definition 3.4].

Definition 4.3 (Hochster-Huneke graph)**.** Let B be a local ring. The *Hochster-Huneke graph* Γ_B *of* B is defined as follows: its vertices are the top-dimensional minimal prime ideals of B, and two distinct vertices P and Q are joined by an edge if and only if $\operatorname{ht}_B(P+Q)=1$.

Before we can state the main result in this section, we want to point out that, since $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$ does not change under any faithfully flat extension, one *cannot* use topological information about $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ to characterize $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$. It turns out that one must consider a faithfully flat extension B of R that is complete and has a separably closed residue field (*a fortiori* strictly Henselian); more specifically, one may choose $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}$, the completion of the strict Henselization of R. (As pointed out in [Lyu06a], the graph Γ_B with $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}$ can be realized by a substantially smaller ring. Indeed, if k is a coefficient field of $\widehat{R}$, then there exists a finite separable extension K of k such that the graphs Γ_B and $\Gamma_{\widehat{R}\otimes_k K}$ are isomorphic. Since this finite separable extension K of k is not explicitly constructed, we choose to work with $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}$.)

To get a sense of the difference between the graph of R and that of $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}$, we consider the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $S=\mathbb{Q}[X,Y,Z,W]_{(X,Y,Z,W)}$, define

$$I=(Z^2-3X^2, W^2-3Y^2, ZW-3XY, XW-3YZ),$$

and let $R=S/I$. Let x,y,z, and w denote the images of X,Y,Z, and W in R, respectively. One can verify that $R\cong\mathbb{Q}\left[x,y,\sqrt{3}x,\sqrt{3}y\right]_{(x,y,\sqrt{3}x,\sqrt{3}y)}$; hence, R is a domain. Therefore, the Hochster-Huneke graph of R consists solely of one vertex, so that Γ_R has only one connected component.

On the other hand, $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}=\frac{\mathbb{Q}^{sep}[\![X,Y,Z,W]\!]}{(Z-\sqrt{3}X,W-\sqrt{3}Y)(Z+\sqrt{3}X,W+\sqrt{3}Y)}$. Clearly, there are 2 vertices in the graph of B which correspond to the minimal prime ideals $(Z-\sqrt{3}X,W-\sqrt{3}Y)$ and $(Z+\sqrt{3}X,W+\sqrt{3}Y)$, respectively, and the height of the sum of these ideals is two in B. Therefore, Γ_B consists of two vertices and no edges, and Γ_B has two connected components.

It is not hard to check that $\lambda_{2,2}(R)=\lambda_{2,2}(B)=2$.

Now we can state the main result of this section. The following theorem was first proved by Lyubeznik in characteristic $p>0$ in [Lyu06a], and later a characteristic-free proof using different methods was given in [Zha07].

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be a d-dimensional local ring that contains a field. Then $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$ is equal to the number of connected components of the Hochster-Huneke graph of $\widehat{R^{sh}}$.*

Sketch of proof. Let $B=\widehat{R^{sh}}$. Since $\lambda_{d,d}(R)$ does not change under faithfully flat extensions, we may replace R by B, and assume that R is a complete local ring that contains a separably closed coefficient field. Let Γ denote the Hochster-Huneke graph of R.

Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_t$ denote the connected components of Γ. For $1 \leq j \leq t$, let I_j be the intersection of the minimal primes of R that are vertices of Γ_j. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of local cohomology (see Remark 2.5), one can prove that

$$\lambda_{d,d}(R) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \lambda_{d,d}(R/I_j).$$

Hence, we are reduced to proving that $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ when R is equidimensional (since each R/I_j is) and Γ is connected.

We now proceed by induction on $d := \dim(R)$. When $d = 2$, the theorem has already been established by Walther in [Wal01] using the "Second Vanishing Theorem" of local cohomology [HL90, Theorem 1.1].

Suppose now that $d \geq 3$, and assume that the theorem holds for all rings of dimension less than d. Since R is complete, we can write $R = S/I$, where $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ is an n-dimensional complete regular local ring that contains a separably closed field, and I is an ideal of S. We will choose a special element $s \in S$ as follows: Note that there are only finitely many minimal elements of $\operatorname{Supp}_S(H_I^{n-d+1}(S))$ ([Lyu93, Corollary 3.6]). If $\operatorname{Ass}_S\left(H_I^{n-d+1}(S)\right) \neq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then by prime avoidance, we can fix an element $s \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is not in any minimal prime of I, nor in any minimal element of $\operatorname{Supp}_S\left(H_I^{n-d+1}(S)\right)$. On the other hand, if $\operatorname{Ass}_S\left(H_I^{n-d+1}(S)\right) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then fix $s \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is not in any minimal prime of I.

Note that by our choice of s, if $\bar{s}$ denotes the image of s in R, the ring $R/\bar{s}R$ is equidimensional and $\dim(R/\bar{s}R) = d - 1$. Moreover, one can prove that $\bar{s}$ satisfies the following two properties:

(1) $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = \lambda_{d-1,d-1}\left(R/\sqrt{\bar{s}R}\right)$, and
(2) The Hochster-Huneke graph of $R/\sqrt{\bar{s}R}$ is connected.

Once these are established, we have $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = \lambda_{d-1,d-1}\left(R/\sqrt{\bar{s}R}\right) = 1$ by the inductive hypotheses, completing the sketch. □

As an application of Theorem 4.5, we will give a much simpler proof of the following theorem, originally proved by Kawasaki in [Kaw02] using a spectral sequence argument.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring that contains a field, let I be an ideal of S, and let $d = \dim(S/I)$. If S/I satisfies Serre's S_2-condition, then $\lambda_{d,d}(S/I) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $R = S/I$, and let $B = \widehat{R^{sh}}$. According to Theorem 4.5, it suffices to show that the Hochster-Huneke graph Γ_B is connected. As S is regular, so is also Cohen-Macaulay, it is universally catenary (*cf.* [Mat89, Theorem 17.9]). Thus, B is catenary. Since R satisfies the S_2 condition, so does B. Then [Har62, Remark 2.4.1] implies that B is equidimensional. Therefore, Γ_B must be connected by [HH94a, Theorem 3.6]. □

It turns out that the converse of Theorem 4.6 does not hold, as the following example from [Kaw02] illustrates.

Example 4.7. Let K be a field, and let $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_6)}$; moreover, let

$$I = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_4) \cap (x_3, x_4, x_5) \cap (x_4, x_5, x_6) \cap (x_5, x_6, x_1). \tag{4.3}$$

It is clear that $\dim(S/I) = 3$, and one can check that $\lambda_{3,3}(S/I) = 1$. If we set $P = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5, x_6)$, then the depth of $(S/I)_P$ is 1, but the height of P in S/I is 2. Hence, S/I does not satisfy the S_2 condition.

We end this section by pointing out a related recent result of Schenzel [Sch11]. Suppose that S is a complete regular local ring of equal characteristic and that I is an ideal of S of height c, and let $d = \dim(S/I)$. Schenzel proved that $B := \mathrm{Hom}_S(H^c_I(S), H^c_I(S))$ is a free S-module of rank $\lambda_{d,d}(S/I)$ [Sch11].

5. Lyubeznik numbers for projective schemes

Throughout this section, we fix the following notation.

Notation 5.1. Fix a projective scheme X over a field K. Let $S = K[x_0, \cdots, x_n]$, and consider the standard grading on S; *i.e.*, $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote is homogeneous maximal ideal, and let I be a homogeneous ideal of S. Under an embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_K$, we may write $X = \mathrm{Proj}(S/I)$. Let $R = (S/I)_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the local ring at the vertex of the affine cone over X, which clearly contains a field.

In our setting, we may consider the Lyubeznik numbers of R. Theorem 4.5 has the following interesting consequence.

Theorem 5.2. *In our setting, if K^{sep} denotes the separable closure of K, let $X_1, \ldots, X_t$ denote the d-dimensional irreducible components of $X^{sep} := X \times_K K^{sep}$. Let Γ_X be the graph on vertices $X_1, \ldots, X_t$, where X_i and X_j are joined by an edge if and only if $\dim(X_i \cap X_j) = d - 1$. Then $\lambda_{d+1,d+1}(R)$ is the number of connected components of Γ_X. Consequently, $\lambda_{d+1,d+1}(R)$ is an invariant of X, independent of the choice of embedding ι.*

This theorem prompts the following question.

Question 5.3. In our setting, is it true that $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$ depends only on X, i, and j; *i.e.*, it is independent of the choice of embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_K$?

When K has characteristic $p > 0$, the answer to Question 5.3 turns out to be affirmative[1]. The main goal of this section is to sketch the proof of the following theorem [Zha11, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 5.4. *In our setting, assume that K has characteristic $p > 0$. Then each $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$ depends only on X, i, and j; in particular, it does not depend on the choice of embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_K$.*

Noting Remark 2.4, one can see that if the index i equals zero or one, the connection between local cohomology and sheaf cohomology is more complicated than in the other cases; consequently, the proof of Theorem 5.4 is more technical.

For this reason, we will sketch the proof of Theorem 5.4 in the case that $i \geq 2$, and will, accordingly, make this assumption for the rest of the section. Since field extensions do not affect the Lyubeznik numbers, we will also assume that K is algebraically closed. For each S-module M, set

$$\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(M) := \mathrm{Ext}^{n+1-i}_S\left(\mathrm{Ext}^{n+1-j}_S(M, S), S\right).$$

Let $\omega^\bullet_X$ denote the dualizing complex on X [Har66] induced by the structure morphism $X \to K$, and for each sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\mathcal{G}$, set

$$E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Ext}^{1-i}_X\left(\mathcal{E}xt^{1-j}(\mathcal{G}, \omega^\bullet_X), \omega^\bullet_X\right).$$

Consider the following characteristic-free result.

Proposition 5.5. *The degree zero piece of $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)$, $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$, depends only on X, i, and j; in particular, it is independent of the choice of embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$.*

[1]Recently, it has been proved in [Swi14] that Question 5.3 has a positive answer for a nonsingular projective variety X in characteristic zero.

Proof. If $\omega_X^\bullet$ denotes the dualizing complex on X induced by the structure morphism $X \to K$, we have the following isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0 &= \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n+1-i}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n+1-j}(S/I, S(-n-1)), S(-n-1)\right)_0 \\
&\cong D\left(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n+1-j}(S/I, S(-n-1))\right)_0\right) && \text{by Remark 2.3} \\
&\cong D\left(H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \mathcal{E}xt^{n+1-j}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^n_k})\right) && \text{by Remark 2.4} \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^{n+1-i}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^{n+1-j}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}\right) && \text{by Serre Duality} \\
&\cong E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is a consequence of Grothendieck Duality for finite morphisms. □

Now we make the transition to characteristic $p > 0$ setting. The fundamental tool in the study of rings S of characteristic $p > 0$ is the *Frobenius endomorphism*

$$F : S \to S, \text{ given by } F(s) = s^p \tag{5.1}$$

and its iterates $F^e : S \to S$ for each $e \geq 1$, given by $F^e(s) = s^{p^e}$. Note that some discussion on singularities defined using these maps appear in Section 7.

Very often one must distinguish the source ring from the target ring in the Frobenius endomorphism. We will do so by denoting the target ring S by $S^{(e)}$; *i.e.*, $S^{(e)}$ is the same as S as an abelian group, but its S-module structure is given via F^e: for each $s \in S$ and $s' \in S^{(e)}$, $s \cdot s' = s^{p^e} s'$. Using this notation, we can introduce the *e-th iterated Frobenius functor* (also called the *Peskine-Szpiro functor*), a functor from the category of S-modules to itself. It is denoted $\mathcal{F}^e$, and given an S-module M, $\mathcal{F}^e(M) = S^{(e)} \otimes_S M$. Since S is a polynomial ring in our setting, it is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{F}^e$ is an exact functor, as the image of F^e is S^{p^e}, and clearly S is a free module over S^{p^e}. One can also check the following facts about $\mathcal{F}^e$.

Proposition 5.6 (Basic facts on $\mathcal{F}^e$)**.** *The Frobenius functor $\mathcal{F}^e$ satisfies the following properties for all integers t and all $e \geq 1$, where each isomorphism is degree-preserving.*

(1) $\mathcal{F}^e(S) \cong S$.
(2) $\mathcal{F}^e(S/I) \cong S/I^{[p^e]}$.
(3) $\mathcal{F}^e\left(\operatorname{Ext}_S^t(M, N)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S^t\left(\mathcal{F}^e(M), \mathcal{F}^e(N)\right)$.

It follows from Proposition 5.6 that we have the composition of natural maps

$$\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I) \to \mathcal{F}^e(\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)) = S^{(e)} \otimes_S \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I^{[p^e]}) \to \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I),$$

where the first map is given by $z \mapsto 1 \otimes z$ for each $z \in \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)$, and the last map is induced by the natural surjection $S/I^{[p^e]} \twoheadrightarrow S/I$. We can restrict the composition to $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$, and we call the restriction α. Note that α is not K-linear, but α satisfies $\alpha(cz) = c^p \alpha(z)$ for every $c \in K$ and $z \in \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$. Such a map is called a *p-linear structure*. Given this p-linear structure α on $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$, we consider its stable part,

$$\left(\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0\right)_s := \bigcap_{e \geq 1} \alpha^e\left(\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0\right).$$

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 5.4 when $i \geq 2$. One can prove that

$$\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \dim_K \left(\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0\right)_s.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$ is independent of the embedding by Proposition 5.5, it remains to show that the p-linear structure, α, on $\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0$ is also independent of the embedding. To this end,

one can consider the the natural p-linear structure β on $E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ induced by the Frobenius endomorphism $F_X : X \to X$, and prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} \\ \mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus,

$$\dim_K \left(\mathcal{E}^{i,j}(S/I)_0\right)_s = \dim_K E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_s,$$

where $E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_s$ is the stable part of $E^{i,j}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ under β, which depends only on X, i, and j, completing the proof. □

6. Further geometric and topological properties

Suppose that V is a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ with an isolated singularity at $p \in V$; moreover, let $R = \mathcal{O}_{V,p}$. Lyubeznik remarked in [Lyu93] that by combining results of Ogus on connections of local cohomology modules with de Rham cohomology in [Ogu73] with results of Hartshorne relating de Rham cohomology and singular cohomology [Har75], one can show that if $j \leq \dim(R) - 1$, then $\lambda_{0,j}(R) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j_p(V, \mathbb{C})$, where $H^j_p(V, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the j-th singular cohomology group of V with complex coefficients and support in p.

In [GLS98], García López and Sabbah extend this result, computing all the Lyubeznik numbers in this case in terms of vector space dimensions of singular cohomology groups. This work employs the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and duality for holonomic D-modules.

Theorem 6.1. *Let V be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ with an isolated singularity at the point x_0. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{V,x_0}$ and let $d = \dim(R)$. If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_{1,1}(R) = 1$ and all other $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = 0$. On the other hand, if $d \geq 2$, then if $H^j_{x_0}(V, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the j-th singular cohomology group of V with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ and support in x_0, the following hold.*

1. $\lambda_{0,j}(R) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^j_{x_0}(V, \mathbb{C})$ *if* $1 \leq j \leq d-1$,
2. $\lambda_{i,d}(R) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{i+d}_{x_0}(V, \mathbb{C})$ *if* $2 \leq i \leq d$, *and*
3. *All other* $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = 0$.

In fact, part (2) of Theorem 6.1 is deduced from part (1) via Poincaré duality, as illustrated by its Lyubeznik table:

$$\Lambda(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{0,1}(R) & \lambda_{0,2}(R) & \cdots & \lambda_{0,d-1}(R) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{0,d-1}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{0,d-2}(R) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{0,2}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{0,1}(R) + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Blickle and Bondu have found interpretations of Lyubeznik numbers in terms of étale cohomology [BB05], which is closely related to Theorem 6.1. Results in [GLS98] and [BB05] have been generalized in [Bli07]. The result is as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Let K be a separably closed field, and given a closed d-dimensional K-subvariety Y of a smooth n-dimensional variety X, let let $A = \mathcal{O}_{Y,x}$. Suppose that the modules $H^{n-i}_{[Y]}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are supported in the point x for all $i \neq d$. Then the following hold.*

(1) *For $2 \leq a \leq d$, one has that*
   (a) $\lambda_{a,d}(A) = \lambda_{0,d-a+1}(A)$ *for* $a \neq d$,
   (b) $\lambda_{d,d}(A) = \lambda_{0,1}(A) + 1$, *and*
   (c) *All other* $\lambda_{a,i}(A) = 0$.

(2) *If $\delta_{a,d}$ denotes the Kroneker delta function, then*

$$\lambda_{a,d}(R) - \delta_{a,d} = \begin{cases} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^{d-a+1}_{\{x\}}(Y_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } K \text{ has characteristic } p, \text{ and} \\ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{d-a+1}_{\{x\}}(Y_{an}, \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } K = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

7. Generalized Lyubeznik numbers

The *generalized Lyubeznik numbers* are a family of invariants associated to a local ring containing a field, which contains the Lyubeznik numbers. These invariants can capture more subtle information about the ring than the (original) Lyubeznik numbers can. Moreover, they can encode information about F-singularities in characteristic $p > 0$, and have connections to D-module characteristic cycle multiplicities in characteristic zero. Throughout our discussion, we use [NBW14] as our reference. The generalized Lyubeznik numbers are defined as follows.

Theorem/Definition 7.1 (Generalized Lyubeznik numbers)**.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a local ring containing a field and let $\widehat{R}$ be its completion at $\mathfrak{m}$. Given a coefficient field L of $\widehat{R}$, there exists a surjection $\pi : S \to \widehat{R}$, where $S = K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$ for some $n \geq 1$, and such that $\pi(K) = L$. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, fix $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and ideals $I_j \subseteq R$, and let $J_j = \pi^{-1}\left(I_j \widehat{R}\right) \subseteq S$. The *generalized Lyubeznik number of R with respect to L, the I_j, and the i_j* is defined as

$$\lambda^{i_\ell,\ldots,i_1}_{I_\ell,\ldots,I_1}(R; L) := \operatorname{length}_{D(S,K)} H^{i_\ell}_{J_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J_2} H^{n-i_1}_{J_1}(S).$$

This number is finite and depends only on R, L, the I_j, and the i_j (*i.e.*, it is independent of the choice of S and of π).

When $\widehat{R}$ has a unique coefficient field (*e.g.*, when K is a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$), or when the choice of L is clear in the context, this invariant is denoted $\lambda^{i_\ell,\ldots,i_1}_{I_\ell,\ldots,I_1}(R)$.

In the definition, we may take $I_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_\ell$ without losing information: For any R-module M satisfying $H^0_I(M) = M$ for some ideal I of R, then for every ideal J of S, $H^i_J(M) = H^i_{I+J}(M)$. Moreover, $\lambda^{i_\ell,\ldots,i_1}_{I_\ell,\ldots,I_1}(R, L) = \lambda^{i_\ell,\ldots,i_1}_{I_\ell,\ldots,I_2,0}(R/I_1, L)$.

We note that Àlvarez Montaner has also given a generalization of the Lyubeznik numbers in the characteristic zero setting in [ÀM04b]; his invariants are defined in terms of D-module characteristic cycles.

In general, to avoid the dependence on the choice of coefficient field of $\widehat{R}$ in the definition of generalized Lyubeznik numbers, one would need to answer the following question, asked by Lyubeznik.

Question 7.2 ([Lyu02]). Let S be a complete regular local ring of equal characteristic. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, fix $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and ideals $J_j \subseteq S$. Given any two coefficient fields K and L of S, is

$$\operatorname{length}_{D(S,K)} H^{i_\ell}_{J_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J_2} H^{n-i_1}_{J_1}(S) = \operatorname{length}_{D(S,L)} H^{i_\ell}_{J_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J_2} H^{n-i_1}_{J_1}(S)?$$

To the best of our knowledge, this question is open even in the case that $s = 1$.

As their nomenclature indicates, the generalized Lyubeznik numbers are, in fact, generalizations of the Lyubeznik numbers, as the following proposition makes explicit.

Proposition 7.3. *If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is a local ring containing a field, then $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \lambda^{i,j}_{\mathfrak{m},0}(R; L)$ for any coefficient field L of $\widehat{R}$.*

Sketch of proof. Since the Bass numbers with respect to the maximal ideal are not affected by completion, R is, without loss of generality, complete.

Consider a surjection $\pi : S := K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$, where $\pi(K) = L$. If $\mathfrak{n}$ denotes the maximal ideal of S, then $H^i_{\mathfrak{n}} H_I^{n-j}(S)$ is injective by [Lyu93, Corollary 3.6]. Thus, by [Lyu93, Lemma 1.4], $\dim_K \operatorname{Hom}(K, H^i_{\mathfrak{n}} H_I^{n-j}(S)) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(K, H_I^{n-j}(S))$, which, in turn, equals $\lambda_{i,j}(R)$. As $H^i_{\mathfrak{n}} H_I^{n-j}(S)$ is supported at $\mathfrak{m}$, it is isomorphic to $E_S(K)^{\oplus \ell} \cong E_S(L)^{\oplus \ell}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $E_S(L)$ is a simple $D(S, L)$-module, we have that $\dim_K \operatorname{Hom}(K, H^i_{\mathfrak{n}} H_I^{n-j}(S)) = \ell = \operatorname{length}_{D(S,L)} H^i_{\mathfrak{n}} H_I^{n-j}(S) = \lambda^{i,j}_{\mathfrak{m},0}(R; L)$. □

The proof that the generalized Lyubeznik numbers are well defined critically uses the key functor G defined in Subsection 2.3; we now sketch this proof.

Sketch of proof of Theorem/Definition 7.1. First, note that the $D(S, K)$-module length of the iterated local cohomology modules is finite by [Bjö79, Lyu93, Lyu97]. Again, without loss of generality, we may assume that R is complete. Along with the surjection $\pi : S = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$, fix $S' = K[\![y_1, \dots, y_{n'}]\!]$ and take a surjection $\pi' : S' \twoheadrightarrow R$ satisfying $\pi'(K) = L$. Set $I' = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi')$, and let $\mathfrak{m}'$ be the maximal ideal of S'. Let ${J_j}' = (\pi')^{-1}(I_j)$ for $1 \le j \le s$.

Let $S'' = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_{n'}]\!]$ and let π'' denote the surjection $\pi'' : S'' \twoheadrightarrow R$ defined by

$$x_i \mapsto \pi(x_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n, \text{ and}$$
$$y_j \mapsto \pi'(y_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n'.$$

Let ${J_j}'' = (\pi'')^{-1}(I_j)$ for each $1 \le j \le s$.

Since π is surjective, there exist $\sigma_j \in S$ such that $\pi(\sigma_j) = \pi''(y_j)$ for each $1 \le j \le n'$. Let $\varphi : S'' \to S$ denote the map defined by $\varphi(x_i) = x_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $\varphi(y_j) = \sigma_j$ for $1 \le j \le n'$, so that φ splits the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow S''$. Since for $1 \le j \le n'$, $y_1 - \sigma_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$, and the map $S''/(y_1 - \sigma_1, \dots, y_{n'} - \sigma_{n'}) \to S$ induced by φ is an isomorphism, $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) = (y_1 - \sigma_1, \dots, y_{n'} - \sigma_{n'})$. Thus, ${J_j}'' = J_j + (y_1 - \sigma_1, \dots, y_{n'} - \sigma_{n'})$. Moreover, the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1 - \sigma_1, \dots, y_{n'} - \sigma_{n'}$ form a regular system of parameters for S'', by Properties 2.7 (6) and (2′) of the functor G defined in Subsection 2.3, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{length}_{D(S,K)} H^{i_\ell}_{J_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J_2} H^{n-i_1}_{J_1}(S) = \operatorname{length}_{D(S'',K)} H^{i_\ell}_{J''_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J''_2} H^{n'+n-i_1}_{J''_1}(S''),$$

which, by an analogous argument, equals $\operatorname{length}_{D(S',K)} H^{i_\ell}_{J'_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{J'_2} H^{n'-i_1}_{J'_1}(S')$ as well. □

Some vanishing properties of the generalized Lyubeznik numbers are as follows; *cf.* Properties 3.2.

Properties 7.4. Given any local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ containing a field, ideals $I_1 \subseteq \ldots, \subseteq I_\ell$ of R, $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \le j \le \ell$, and a coefficient field L of $\widehat{R}$, the following hold.

(1) $\lambda^{i_1}_{I_1}(R; L) \neq 0$ if $i_1 = \dim(R/I_1)$.
(2) $\lambda^{i_2, i_1}_{I_2, I_1}(R; L) = 0$ if $i_2 > i_1$, and is nonzero if $i_1 = \dim(R/I_1)$ and $i_2 = \dim(R/I_1) - \dim(R/I_2)$.
(3) $\lambda^{i_\ell, \dots, i_1}_{I_\ell, \dots, I_1}(R; L) = 0$ if either $i_1 > \dim(R/I_1)$, or if $i_j > \dim(R/I_{j-1})$ and $2 \le j \le \ell$.

The generalized Lyubeznik numbers behave in the following way under finite field extensions: if $K \subseteq K'$ is a finite field extension, $R = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$, and $R' = K'[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$, then for all ideals I_j of R and $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le j \le \ell$, $\lambda^{i_\ell, \dots, i_1}_{I_\ell, \dots, I_1}(R) = \lambda^{i_\ell, \dots, i_1}_{I_\ell R', \dots, I_1 R'}(R')$.

Although the Lyubeznik numbers cannot distinguish between complete intersection rings (see Properties 3.2), or between one-dimensional rings, the generalized Lyubeznik numbers can, as the following properties show.

Properties 7.5. The following hold.

(1) Fix a complete local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ of dimension one and a coefficient field L of R, and let $P_1, \dots P_t$ denote the minimal primes of R. Then $\lambda_0^1(R; L) = \lambda_0^1(R/P_1; L) + \dots + \lambda_0^1(R/P_t; L) + t - 1$.
(2) If K is a field and $S = K[\![x_1, \dots, x_n]\!]$, let $f = f_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots f_t^{\alpha_t}$, where $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$ are irreducible and each $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\lambda_0^{n-1}(S/f) \geq \lambda_0^{n-1}(S/f_1) + \dots + \lambda_0^{n-1}(S/f_t) + t - 1$.

Proposition 7.5 indicates that the generalized Lyubeznik numbers of the form $\lambda_0^j(R; L)$ are of specific interest. One way they can be used is to define the following invariant of a local ring containing a field.

Definition 7.6 (Lyubeznik characteristic)**.** Let R be a d-dimensional local ring containing a field, and fix a coefficient field L of $\widehat{R}$. The *Lyubeznik characteristic of R with respect to L* is defined as

$$\chi_\lambda(R; L) := \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i \lambda_0^i(R; L).$$

As a consequence of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for local cohomology (see Remark 2.5), given ideals I and J of a local ring R containing a field, $\chi_\lambda(R/I; L) + \chi_\lambda(R/J; L) = \chi_\lambda(R/(I + J); L) + \chi_\lambda(R/I \cap J; L)$ for any coefficient field L of $\widehat{R}$. Note that the Lyubeznik characteristic of a Stanley-Reinser ring is computed in Theorem 8.14.

We now discuss some connections of certain generalized Lyubeznik numbers with singularities in positive characteristic. Suppose that R is a ring of characteristic $p > 0$. In this case, we have the Frobenius endomorphism $F : R \to R$ defined by $F(r) = r^p$, as discussed in Section 5. A variety of types of singularities can be defined via Frobenius (*e.g.*, *F-injective*, *F-pure*, and *F-regular* singularities).

If R is reduced, let $R^{1/p^e} = \{r^{1/p^e} \mid r \in R\}$, the ring obtained by adjoining the p^e-th roots of elements of R. We say that R is *F-finite* if $R^{1/p}$ is a finitely generated R-module. Throughout this discussion, we assume that R is reduced and F-finite.

We now recall some basic definitions from the theory of tight closure developed by Hochster and Huneke [HH90, HH89]; we also refer to [Hun96] for a reference. If I is an ideal of R, the *tight closure of I*, I^*, is the ideal of R consisting of all elements $z \in R$ for which there exists some $c \in R$ that is not in any minimal prime of R, such that

$$cz^q \in I^{[q]} \text{ for all } q = p^e \gg 0.$$

An ideal I is *tightly closed* if $I^* = I$. A ring is called *F-rational* if every parameter ideal is tightly closed, and is called *weakly F-regular* if every ideal of the ring is tightly closed. A ring is called *F-regular* if every localization of the ring is weakly F-regular. It is not known whether every weakly F-regular ring is F-regular. We point out that tight closure does not commute with localization in general [BM10].

The *test ideal* of R is defined by

$$\tau(R) = \bigcap_{J \subseteq R \text{ ideal}} (J : J^*).$$

The test ideal of $\tau(R)$ is an important object in understanding how "far" a ring is from being weakly F-regular. In particular, $\tau(R) = R$ if and only if R is weakly F-regular.

If the R-module inclusion $R \hookrightarrow R^{1/p}$ splits, R is called *F-pure*. The F-purity of a ring simplifies computations for cohomology groups and implies vanishing properties of these groups [HR76, Lyu06b]. If for every $c \in R$ not contained in any minimal prime of R, there exists $e \geq 1$ such that the R-module map $R \to R^{1/p^e}$ defined by $1 \mapsto c^{1/p^e}$ splits, then R is called *strongly*

F-regular . A local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is *F-injective* if the action of Frobenius on the local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The relations among these properties are the following:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}F\text{-injective}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\} \supseteq \left\{\begin{array}{c}F\text{-pure}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\} \supseteq \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{weakly}\\ F\text{-regular}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\} \supseteq \left\{\begin{array}{c}F\text{-regular}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\} \supseteq \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{strongly}\\ F\text{-regular}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\} \supseteq \left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{regular}\\ \text{rings}\end{array}\right\}.$$

The generalized Lyubeznik numbers of the form $\lambda^j_0(R)$ can provide information on singularities in characteristic $p > 0$. In particular, results of Blickle indicate that they encode information on F-regularity and F-rationality. We present these results, as done in [NBW14].

Theorem 7.7. [Bli04] *Suppose that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is a d-dimensional complete local domain of characteristic $p > 0$. If R is F-injective and $\lambda^d_0(R; L) = 1$, then R is F-rational. Moreover, if K is perfect and R is F-rational, then $\lambda^d_0(R) = 1$.*

Example 7.8. Suppose that K is a field, and let $R = K[X]$ be the polynomial ring over K in the entries of an $r \times r$ square matrix X of indeterminates, $r > 1$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the homogeneous maximal ideal of R, and let $\det X$ denote the determinant of X. When K is a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, $R_{\mathfrak{m}}/(\det X)R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is strongly F-regular, so also F-rational, and $\lambda^{r^2-1}_0 (R_{\mathfrak{m}}/(\det X)R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 1$ [HH94b]. However, when K has characteristic zero, we have that $\lambda^{r^2-1}_0 (R_{\mathfrak{m}}/(\det X)R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \geq 2$ [Wal05].

Work in [NBP13] indicates that the generalized Lyubeznik numbers can measure how "far" an F-pure hypersurface ring is from being F-regular. Take an element f of an F-finite regular local ring R of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $R/(f)$ is F-pure. Let τ_1 be the pullback of the test ideal of $R/(f)$ to R, and for $i > 1$, and let τ_i denote the pullback of the test ideal of R/τ_{i-1} to R. Vassilev showed that the chain $0 \subsetneq \tau_1 \subsetneq \tau_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \tau_s = R$ is finite and each R/τ_i is F-pure [Vas98]. In fact, $\lambda^{\dim(R/(f))}_0 (R/(f); L) \geq s$ for any coefficient field L of $\widehat{R/(f)}$. In particular, if $\lambda^{\dim(R/(f))}_0 (R/(f); L) = 1$, then $R/(f)$ is F-regular, which recovers the result of Blickle in this case (*cf.* Theorem 7.7).

Question 7.9. What further geometric and algebraic properties are captured by the generalized Lyubeznik numbers of a local ring containing a field? In particular, do these numbers measure singularities in characteristic zero?

8. Lyubeznik numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings

Definition 8.1 (Simplicial complex, face/simplex, dimension of a simplicial complex or face, facet)**.** A *simplicial complex* Δ on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of subsets, called *faces* or *simplices*, that is closed under taking subsets. The *dimension* of a face $\sigma \in \Delta$ is defined as $\dim(\sigma) = |\sigma| - 1$. The *dimension* of Δ, $\dim(\Delta)$, is the maximum of the dimensions of its faces; by convention, $\dim(\Delta) := -1$ if $\Delta = \varnothing$. We let $F_i(\Delta)$ denote the set of faces of Δ dimension i. A *facet* of Δ is a face of Δ that is not strictly contained in any other face.

If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are simplicial complexes on the vertex set $[n]$, then $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ and $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ are also simplicial complexes. Moreover, a simplicial complex is determined by its facets.

Definition 8.2 (Alexander dual of a simplicial complex, link)**.** The Alexander dual of a simplicial complex Δ on $[n]$ is defined as

$$\Delta^\vee := \{[n] \setminus \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Delta\}.$$

Given a simplex $\sigma \in \Delta$, we define its link inside Δ by $\mathrm{link}_\Delta = \{\tau \in \Delta \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in \Delta \text{ and } \tau \cap \sigma = \varnothing\}$

Notation 8.3. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]$ and $\sigma \in \Delta$. Then x^σ denotes $\prod_{i\in\sigma} x_i \in S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Definition 8.4 (Stanley-Reisner ideal and ring)**.** Given a field K, the *Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to the simplicial complex* Δ of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the squarefree monomial ideal $I_\Delta = \langle x^\sigma \mid \sigma \notin \Delta\rangle$. The *Stanley-Reisner ring of* Δ is $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I_\Delta$.

Theorem 8.5 (see [MS05, Theorem 1.7])**.** *Given a field K, the map sending Δ to the ideal I_Δ of $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ defines a bijection from simplicial complexes on the vertex set $[n]$ to squarefree monomial ideals of S.*

Example 8.6. Given a field K, let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_6]$ and let

$$I = (x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_6, x_2x_4, x_2x_5, x_2x_6, x_3x_6).$$

Then $I = I_\Delta$, where Δ is the following simplicial complex:



We now fix notation for the following discussion.

Notation 8.7. Suppose that K is a field, let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote its homogeneous maximal ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Fix the standard grading on S; *i.e.*, $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, fix a simplicial complex Δ on vertex set $[n]$, and set $I = I_\Delta$.

Suppose that I is an squarefree monomial ideal in S, and let $R = S/I$. Since I is a homogeneous ideal, $H^{n-j}_I(S)$ and $H^i_\mathfrak{m}H^{n-j}_I(S)$ are graded S-modules for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Remark 2.3). Results of Lyubeznik imply that $H^i_\mathfrak{m}H^{n-j}_I(S)$ is isomorphic, as S-modules, with a direct sum of $\lambda_{i,j}(R_\mathfrak{m})$ copies the injective hull $E_S(K)$ [Lyu00c]. Results of Y. Zhang in characteristic $p > 0$, and extended to arbitrary characteristic by Ma and the third author, imply that, in fact, $H^i_\mathfrak{m}H^{n-j}_I(S) \cong H^n_\mathfrak{m}(S)^{\oplus\lambda_{i,j}(R_\mathfrak{m})}$ as graded S-modules [MZ13, Zha12]. This was previously known for monomial ideals (see, for instance, [Mus00, Yan01a]). In particular, $\lambda_{i,j}(R_\mathfrak{m})$ is equal to the K-vector space dimension of the $(-n)$-degree piece of $H^i_\mathfrak{m}H^{n-j}_I(S)$.

Mustaţă used the $\mathbb{N}^n$-graded structures of S and of $H^j_I(S)$ to describe the structure of $H^j_I(S)$ in terms of Δ: for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\left[H^j_I(S)\right]_{-\alpha} = \widetilde{H}^{j-2}(\Delta^\vee; K)$ [Mus00]. In addition, in [Yan01a], Yanagawa used this graded structure on the local cohomology modules to prove that

$$\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \dim_K \left[\operatorname{Ext}^{n-i}_S\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{n-j}_S(S/I, S)\right), S\right]_{(0,\ldots,0)}.$$

We point out that there exist analogous formulas for the Bass numbers of local cohomology modules of simplicial normal Gorenstein semigroup rings with support in squarefree monomial ideals [Yan01b].

Let $F_\bullet$ denote the minimal graded free resolution of $I_{\Delta^\vee}$,

$$F_\bullet: \quad 0 \to F_\ell \xrightarrow{d_\ell} F_\ell \xrightarrow{d_{\ell-1}} \ldots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \to I_{\Delta^\vee} \to 0,$$

where $d_i(F_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$. Since the resolution is graded, we write F_i as a direct sum of copies of S with degree shifts,

$$F_i = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} S(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}},$$

where $\beta_{i,j}$ is called the *(i,j)-th graded Betti number*, an invariant of $I_{\Delta^\vee}$. We define the *r-linear strand of $I_{\Delta^\vee}$* by

$$F_\bullet^{\langle r\rangle}: \quad 0 \to F_{n-r}^{\langle r\rangle} \xrightarrow{d_{n-r}} F_{n-r-1}^{\langle r\rangle} \xrightarrow{d_{n-r-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow F_1^{\langle r\rangle} \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0^{\langle r\rangle} \to 0,$$

where

$$F_i^{\langle r\rangle} = \bigoplus_{|j|=i+r} S(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}}$$

and the maps correspond to the components in the resolution $F_\bullet$. We consider the complex $G_\bullet^{\langle r\rangle}$ given by tensoring $F_\bullet^{\langle r\rangle}$ with $S/(x_1-1,\ldots,x_n-1)$ and taking the corresponding monomial matrices (see [Mil00]).

Theorem 8.8 ([ÀMV14])**.** *Let K be a field and let $S = K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Fix Δ, a simplicial complex on vertex set $[n]$, and let $I = I_\Delta$ denote the Stanley-Reisner ideal of S associated to Δ; moreover, let $R = S/I_\Delta$ be the corresponding Stanley-Reisner ring. Let $I_{\Delta^\vee}$ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to the Alexander dual, $\Delta^\vee$, of Δ, and let $G_\bullet^{\langle r\rangle}$ be the complex defined in the previous paragraph.*

Then

$$\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \dim_K H_{j-i}\left(G_\bullet^{\langle n-j\rangle}\right),$$

where $H_\bullet$ denotes homology.

Remark 8.9. The form of Theorem 8.8 is not exactly as it appears in [ÀMV14]. Their result transposes the monomial matrices of $G_\bullet^{\langle r\rangle}$, and uses cohomology because the category of hypercubes that they consider is contravariant.

Since there are algorithms for computing $F_\bullet$, and so $G_\bullet^{\langle n-j\rangle}$, the previous theorem gives an algorithm to compute the Lyubeznik numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings in any characteristic. There are other algorithms and techniques for computing Lyubeznik numbers for such rings [ÀM00, ÀM04a, Yan01a].

The algorithm given by Theorem 8.8 was implemented by Fernández-Ramos [ÀMFR13] in `Macaulay2` [GS] (see [ÀM13] for details).

Example 8.10. For S, I, and Δ from Example 8.6,

$$\Lambda(S/I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 8.11. Let K be a field, let $S = K[x_1,\ldots,x_6]$, and let I be as defined in (4.3). Then

$$\Lambda(S/I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 8.12 ([ÀMV14])**.** Let $S = K[x_1,\ldots,x_6]$ and let I denote the monomial ideal of S generated by

$$x_1x_2x_3,\ x_1x_2x_4,\ x_1x_3x_5,\ x_1x_4x_6,\ x_1x_5x_6,\ x_2x_3x_6,\ x_2x_4x_5,\ x_2x_5x_6,\ x_3x_4x_5,\ \text{and}\ x_3x_4x_6.$$

The simplicial complex associated to I corresponds to a minimal triangulation of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$, and the projective algebraic set that I defines in $\mathbb{P}^5_K$ has been called *Reisner's variety* since he introduced it in [Rei76, Remark 3]. If $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$\Lambda(S/I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

but if $K = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\Lambda(S/I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example shows that the Lyubeznik numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings depend on the characteristic. We point out that Blickle has found examples for which these invariants exhibit "bad" behavior under reduction to positive characteristic [Bli07].

The generalized Lyubeznik numbers for Stanley-Reisner rings can also be computed as certain lengths in the category of straight modules [Yan01a], and in terms of characteristic cycle multiplicities in characteristic zero [NBW14]. Indeed, let K be a field and let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, so that its completion at its homogeneous maximal ideal is $\widehat{S} = K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_\ell$ be ideals of S generated by squarefree monomials, and fix $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\lambda^{i_\ell,\ldots,i_1}_{I_\ell,\ldots,I_1}\left(\widehat{S}\right) = \operatorname{length}_{\mathbf{Str}} H^{i_\ell}_{I_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{I_2} H^{n-i_1}_{I_1}(\omega_S) = \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n} \dim_k \left[H^{i_\ell}_{I_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{I_2} H^{n-i_1}_{I_1}(\omega_S)\right]_{-\alpha}.$$

Moreover, if K has characteristic zero, then $\lambda^{i_1,\ldots,i_\ell}_{I_1,\ldots,I_\ell}\left(\widehat{S}\right) = e\left(H^{i_\ell}_{I_\ell} \cdots H^{i_2}_{I_2} H^{n-i_1}_{I_1}(S)\right)$, where $e(M)$ denotes the characteristic cycle multiplicity of a $D(S,K)$-module M.

Therefore, certain generalized Lyubeznik numbers of a characteristic zero Stanley-Reisner ring can be computed using algorithms for calculating characteristic cycle multiplicities (see [ÀM00, ÀM04a, ÀMGLZA03]). In addition, one can also compute the Lyubeznik characteristic using these algorithms. We point out that the generalized Lyubeznik numbers may differ from the characteristic cycle multiplicities if the ideals are not monomial.

Example 8.13. Take S and I from Example 8.12, and let $R = S/I$. If $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\lambda^4_0(R) = 31$ and all other $\lambda^j_0(R)$ vanish. If $K = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then $\lambda^4_0(R) = 32$, $\lambda^3_0(R) = 1$, and all other $\lambda^j_0(R) = 0$. Notice that in both cases, $\chi_\lambda(R) = 31$.

Unlike the Lyubeznik numbers and the generalized Lyubeznik numbers (see Examples 8.12 and 8.13), the Lyubeznik characteristic is characteristic-independent in this setting, as the following result shows.

Theorem 8.14 ([NBW14])**.** *Take a simplicial complex Δ on vertex set $[n]$. Let R denote the Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be its homogeneous maximal ideal. Then*

$$\chi_\lambda(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \sum_{i=-1}^{n} (-2)^{i+1} |F_i(\Delta)|.$$

Example 8.15. Take S and Δ from Example 8.6, let $R = S/I_\Delta$, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then by Theorem 8.14,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\lambda(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) &= |F_{-1}(\Delta)| + (-2)|F_0(\Delta)| + 4|F_1(\Delta)| - 8|F_2(\Delta)| + 16|F_3(\Delta)| \\ &= 1 - 2 \cdot 6 + 4 \cdot 10 - 8 \cdot 5 + 16 \cdot 1 = 5. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\lambda_0^4(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 6$, $\lambda_0^3(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 2$, $\lambda_0^2(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 1$, and all other $\lambda_0^\bullet(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$. On the other hand, we verify that

$$\chi_\lambda(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \lambda_0^4(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) - \lambda_0^3(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) + \lambda^2(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 6 - 2 + 1 = 5.$$

9. Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic

Although the Lyubeznik numbers are defined only for local rings containing a field (*i.e.*, equal characteristic), in [NBW13], our reference for this section, an alternate definition of Lyubeznik numbers is given for all local rings with characteristic $p > 0$ residue field. These invariants are called the *Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic*, as they are, in particular, defined for local rings of mixed characteristic.

The definition of the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic, like the Lyubeznik numbers, relies on the Cohen Structure Theorems. For any field K of characteristic $p > 0$, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) complete (unramified) Noetherian discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic of the form (V, pV, K). Any complete local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ of mixed characteristic $p > 0$ is the homomorphic image of some $V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$, where (V, pV, K) is the complete Noetherian DVR corresponding to K. As any complete local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ of equal characteristic $p > 0$ admits a surjection of some $K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$, the natural surjection $V \twoheadrightarrow K$ induces the surjective composition $V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!] \twoheadrightarrow K[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$.

The fact that the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic are well defined relies not only on the existence of a surjection from a regular local ring, but on details of its construction [Coh46]. These invariants are defined as follows.

Theorem/Definition 9.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be a local ring such that K has characteristic $p > 0$. By the Cohen Structure Theorems, there exists a surjection $\pi : S \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{R}$, where $(S, \mathfrak{n}, K)$ is an n-dimensional unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic. Let $I = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$, and take $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. The *Lyubeznik number of R in mixed characteristic with respect to i and j* is defined as

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R) := \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}_S^i\left(K, H_I^{n-j}(S)\right).$$

This number depends only on R, i, and j; *i.e.*, it is independent of the choice of S and of π. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is *any* local ring such that K has characteristic $p > 0$, then $\lambda_{i,j}(R) := \lambda_{i,j}(\widehat{R})$, where $\widehat{R}$ is the completion of R with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$.

Sketch of proof. We know that each $\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}_S^i\left(K, H_I^{n-j}(S)\right)$ is finite by [Lyu00b, NB13]. Without loss of generality, we may assume that R is complete. We will prove that the invariants are well defined in several steps.

Step 1. Take a coefficient ring W of R, and take a complete Noetherian DVR V with residue field K. Take surjections $\pi : S := V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$ and $\pi' : S' := V[\![y_1, \ldots, y_{n'-1}]\!] \twoheadrightarrow W$ such that $\pi(V) = W = \pi'(V)$, and $\pi(v) = \pi'(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Let $I = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$ and let $I' = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi')$. We then have a surjection $\pi'' : S'' := V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'-1}]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$ given by $x_i \mapsto \pi(x_i)$ and $y_j \mapsto \pi'(y_j)$. If $I'' = \ker(\pi'')$. Then similar to the proof of Theorem/Definition 3.1, Properties 2.7 (5) and (7) will imply that

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}_S(K, H_I^{n-j}(S)) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}_{S''}(K, H_{I''}^{n+n'-j}(S'')) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}_{S'}(K, H_{I'}^{n'-j}(S')). \tag{9.1}$$

Step 2. Now consider the general case. Take V and V' complete Noetherian domains with residue field K, and surjections $\pi : V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$ and $\pi' : V'[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{n'-1}]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$ such that $\pi|(V) = W = \pi'(V')$. Let $I = \ker(\pi)$ and $I' = \ker(\pi')$. Now, let $\mu = \dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$, and let $T = V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_{\mu-1}]\!]$ and $T' = V'[\![y_1, \ldots, y_{\mu-1}]\!]$. It can be shown that there exist surjections

$\eta : T \twoheadrightarrow R$ and $\eta' : T' \twoheadrightarrow R$ and an isomorphism $\varphi : T \to T'$ such that $\eta' \circ \varphi = \eta$. Let $J = \ker(\eta)$ and $J' = \ker(\eta')$. Then by (9.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_S(K, H_I^{n-j}(S)) &= \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_T(K, H_J^{\mu-j}(T)), \text{ and}\\ \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{S'}(K, H_{I'}^{n'-j}(S')) &= \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{T'}(K, H_{J'}^{\mu-j}(T')).\end{aligned}$$

As φ is an isomorphism, $\dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_T(K, H_J^{\mu-j}(T)) = \dim_K \operatorname{Ext}^i_{T'}(K, H_{J'}^{\mu-j}(T'))$, and we are done. □

In general, the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic are not easy to compute. However, if (V, pV, K) is a complete DVR of unramified mixed characteristic $p > 0$ and $R = V[\![x_1, \ldots, x_n]\!]$, and $r_1, \ldots, r_\ell \in R$ is a regular sequence, then $\widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_\ell)) = 1$ when $i = j = n + 1 - \ell$, and vanishes otherwise (*cf.* Properties 3.2).

Some vanishing properties of the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic are as follows (*cf.* Properties 3.2).

Properties 9.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be an d-dimensional local ring such that K has characteristic $p > 0$. Then

(1) $\widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R) = 0$ if either $i > d$, $j > d$, or $i > j + i$, and
(2) $\widetilde{\lambda}_{d,d}(R) \neq 0$.

Given a surjection $\pi : S \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{R}$ as in the definition of the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic, with $I = \ker(\pi)$ and $n = \dim(S)$, we have that $H_I^{n-j}(S) = 0$ for $j > \dim(S/I) = d$, and $\operatorname{inj.dim} H_I^{n-j}(S) \leq \dim H_I^{n-j}(S) + 1 \leq j + 1$ by [Zho98], proving Property 9.2 (1) for $j > d$ or $i > j + i$. However, vanishing for $j > d$ is more subtle, requiring a more detailed analysis. These results make possible the following definition (*cf.* Definitions 3.3 and 4.1).

Definition 9.3 (Highest Lyubeznik number in mixed characteristic)**.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be an d-dimensional local ring such that K has characteristic $p > 0$. Then $\widetilde{\lambda}_{d,d}(R)$ is called the *highest Lyubeznik number of R in mixed characteristic*.

Question 9.4. What topological properties do the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic capture? In particular, what information is encoded in the highest Lyubeznik number in mixed characteristic?

Question 9.5 ([NBW13]). Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d. Kawasaki showed that $\lambda_{d,d}(R) = 1$ if R contains a field [Kaw02]. If R has mixed characteristic, is $\widetilde{\lambda}_{d,d}(R) = 1$?

Definition 9.6 (Lyubeznik table in mixed characteristic)**.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ be an d-dimensional local ring such that K has characteristic $p > 0$. The *Lyubeznik table of R in mixed characteristic* is the $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$ matrix

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(R) := \left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R)\right)_{0 \leq i,j \leq d} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\lambda}_{0,0}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{0,1}(R) & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda}_{0,d-2}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{0,d-1}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{0,d}(R) \\ \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,0}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,1}(R) & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,d-2}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,d-1}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,d}(R) \\ 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}_{2,1}(R) & \cdots & \widetilde{\lambda}_{2,d-2}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{2,d-1}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{2,d}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \widetilde{\lambda}_{d-1,d-2}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{d-1,d-1}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{d-1,d}(R) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \widetilde{\lambda}_{d,d-1}(R) & \widetilde{\lambda}_{d,d}(R) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that all entries below the subdiagonal in each Lyubeznik table in mixed characteristic vanish; it is not known whether all entries below the diagonal must vanish.

Question 9.7. For every local ring R with characteristic $p > 0$ residue field K, is $\widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R) = 0$ for $i > j$?

When $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$ is a local ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$, both the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic and the (original) Lyubeznik numbers are defined. When R is Cohen Macaulay, or if $\dim(R) \leq 2$, these invariants coincide: $\lambda_{i,j}(R) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{i,j}(R)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic do not, in general, agree with the Lyubeznik numbers for rings of equal characteristic $p > 0$, as the following example indicates.

Example 9.8. Let S be the ring and I the ideal from Example 8.12, and let $K = \mathbb{F}_2$. If $R = \widehat{S/I}$, then

$$\Lambda(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ but } \widetilde{\Lambda}(R) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example is calculated by utilizing the second computation of Àlvarez Montaner and Vahidi in Example 8.12, as well as a result on Bockstein homomorphisms of local cohomology modules of Singh and Walther [ÀMV14, SW11].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We send many thanks to Josep Àlvarez Montaner, Manuel Blickle, Daniel J. Hernández, Mel Hochster, Gennady Lyubeznik, Felipe Pérez, and Uli Walther for useful mathematical conversations and suggestions related to this work. We also thank Josep Àlvarez Montaner for computing several examples that appear in this survey. The first author also thanks Noé Bárcenas Torres, Fernando Galaz García, and Mónica Moreno Rocha for organizing the "Taller de Vinculación Matemáticos Mexicanos Jóvenes en el Mundo" in Guanajuato, México, where this project was initiated, as well as the National Council of Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACyT) for its support through Grant 210916. We thank the referee for a careful reading of this paper, and for several comments and suggestions that improved it.

REFERENCES

[ÀMV14] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER AND A. VAHIDI: *Lyubeznik numbers of monomial ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 4, 1829–1855. 2, 17, 21

[ÀM00] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER: *Characteristic cycles of local cohomology modules of monomial ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **150** (2000), no. 1, 1–25. 1762917 (2001d:13016) 2, 17, 18

[ÀM04a] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER: *Characteristic cycles of local cohomology modules of monomial ideals. II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **192** (2004), no. 1-3, 1–20. 2067186 2, 17, 18

[ÀM04b] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER: *Some numerical invariants of local rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 4, 981–986 (electronic). 2045412 (2005c:13021) 2, 12

[ÀM13] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER: *Local cohomology supported on monomial ideals*, Monomial ideals, computations and applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2013, pp. 125–198. 17

[ÀM14] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER: *Lyubeznik table of sequentially cohen-macaulay rings*, To Appear in Communications in Algebra (2014). 7

[ÀMFR13] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER AND O. FERNÁNDEZ-RAMOS: *Local cohomology using macaulay2*, Monomial ideals, computations and applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2013. 17

[ÀMGLZA03] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER, R. GARCÍA LÓPEZ, AND S. ZARZUELA ARMENGOU: *Local cohomology, arrangements of subspaces and monomial ideals*, Adv. Math. **174** (2003), no. 1, 35–56. 1959890 (2004a:13012) 2, 18

[ÀML06] J. ÀLVAREZ MONTANER AND A. LEYKIN: *Computing the support of local cohomology modules*, J. Symbolic Comput. **41** (2006), no. 12, 1328–1344. 2271328 (2008a:13023) 2, 6

[Bjö79] J.-E. BJÖRK: *Rings of differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 21, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1979. 549189 (82g:32013) 4, 13

[Bli04] M. BLICKLE: *The intersection homology D-module in finite characteristic*, Math. Ann. **328** (2004), no. 3, 425–450. 2036330 (2005a:14005) 2, 15

[Bli07] M. BLICKLE: *Lyubeznik's invariants for cohomologically isolated singularities*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), no. 1, 118–123. 2290913 (2008b:13024) 2, 11, 18

[BB05] M. BLICKLE AND R. BONDU: *Local cohomology multiplicities in terms of étale cohomology*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 7, 2239–2256. 2207383 (2007d:14009) 2, 11

[BM10] H. BRENNER AND P. MONSKY: *Tight closure does not commute with localization*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 571–588. 2630050 (2011d:13005) 14

[BS98] M. P. BRODMANN AND R. Y. SHARP: *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR1613627 (99h:13020) 2, 3, 4

[Coh46] I. S. COHEN: *On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1946), 54–106. 0016094 (7,509h) 19

[Eis95] D. EISENBUD: *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry. 1322960 (97a:13001) 4

[GLS98] R. GARCÍA LÓPEZ AND C. SABBAH: *Topological computation of local cohomology multiplicities*, Collect. Math. **49** (1998), no. 2-3, 317–324, Dedicated to the memory of Fernando Serrano. 1677136 (2000a:13029) 2, 11

[GS] D. R. GRAYSON AND M. E. STILLMAN: *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. 17

[Har62] R. HARTSHORNE: *Complete intersections and connectedness*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 497–508. 0142547 (26 #116) 8

[Har66] R. HARTSHORNE: *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR0222093 (36 #5145) 9

[Har75] R. HARTSHORNE: *On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1975), no. 45, 5–99. 0432647 (55 #5633) 11

[HS08] M. HELLUS AND P. SCHENZEL: *On cohomologically complete intersections*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 10, 3733–3748. 2457720 (2010a:13029) 7

[HH89] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure and strong F-regularity*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1989), no. 38, 119–133, Colloque en l'honneur de Pierre Samuel (Orsay, 1987). MR1044348 (91i:13025) 14

[HH90] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 1, 31–116. MR1017784 (91g:13010) 14

[HH94a] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Indecomposable canonical modules and connectedness*, Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992), Contemp. Math., vol. 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 197–208. MR1266184 (95e:13014) 7, 8

[HH94b] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 4, 599–670. MR1297848 (95k:13002) 15

[HR76] M. HOCHSTER AND J. L. ROBERTS: *The purity of the Frobenius and local cohomology*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 2, 117–172. MR0417172 (54 #5230) 14

[HL90] C. HUNEKE AND G. LYUBEZNIK: *On the vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 1, 73–93. 1069240 (91i:13020) 8

[Hun96] C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure and its applications*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 88, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996, With an appendix by Melvin Hochster. MR1377268 (96m:13001) 14

[HS93] C. L. HUNEKE AND R. Y. SHARP: *Bass numbers of local cohomology modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), no. 2, 765–779. 1124167 (93m:13008) 1, 5

[ILL+07] S. B. IYENGAR, G. J. LEUSCHKE, A. LEYKIN, C. MILLER, E. MILLER, A. K. SINGH, AND U. WALTHER: *Twenty-four hours of local cohomology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 87, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. 2355715 (2009a:13025) 2, 4

[Kaw02] K.-I. KAWASAKI: *On the highest Lyubeznik number*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **132** (2002), no. 3, 409–417. 1891679 (2003b:13026) 2, 8, 20

[Lyu93] G. LYUBEZNIK: *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D-modules to commutative algebra)*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 1, 41–55. 1223223 (94e:13032) 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 13

[Lyu97] G. LYUBEZNIK: *F-modules: applications to local cohomology and D-modules in characteristic $p > 0$*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **491** (1997), 65–130. MR1476089 (99c:13005) 4, 13

[Lyu00a] G. LYUBEZNIK: *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules: a characteristic-free approach*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **151** (2000), no. 1, 43–50. 1770642 (2001g:13038) 4

[Lyu00b] G. LYUBEZNIK: *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed characteristic: the unramified case*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), no. 12, 5867–5882, Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne. 1808608 (2002b:13028) 19

[Lyu00c] G. LYUBEZNIK: *Injective dimension of D-modules: a characteristic-free approach*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **149** (2000), no. 2, 205–212. 1757731 (2001g:13029) 16

[Lyu02] G. LYUBEZNIK: *A partial survey of local cohomology*, Local cohomology and its applications (Guanajuato, 1999), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 226, Dekker, New York, 2002, pp. 121–154. 1888197 (2003b:14006) 2, 12

[Lyu06a] G. LYUBEZNIK: *On some local cohomology invariants of local rings*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), no. 3, 627–640. 2244370 (2007g:13024) 2, 7

[Lyu06b] G. LYUBEZNIK: *On the vanishing of local cohomology in characteristic $p > 0$*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 1, 207–221. 2197409 (2007b:13029) 14

[MZ13] L. MA AND W. ZHANG: *Eulerian graded D-modules*, to appear in Mathematical Research Letters (2013), arXiv:1210.8402. 16

[Mat89] H. MATSUMURA: *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. MR1011461 (90i:13001) 8

[Mil00] E. MILLER: *The Alexander duality functors and local duality with monomial support*, J. Algebra **231** (2000), no. 1, 180–234. 1779598 (2001k:13028) 17

[MS05] E. MILLER AND B. STURMFELS: *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. 2110098 (2006d:13001) 16

[Mus00] M. MUSTAŢĂ: *Local cohomology at monomial ideals*, J. Symbolic Comput. **29** (2000), no. 4-5, 709–720, Symbolic computation in algebra, analysis, and geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1998). 1769662 (2001i:13020) 2, 16

[NB13] L. NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT: *Local cohomology modules of polynomial or power series rings over rings of small dimension*, Illinois J. Math. **57** (2013), no. 1, 279–294. 19

[NBP13] L. NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT AND F. PÉREZ: *F-jumping and F-Jacobian ideals for hypersurfaces*, Preprint (2013), arXiv:1302.3327. 15

[NBW13] L. NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT AND E. E. WITT: *Lyubeznik numbers in mixed characteristic*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2013), no. 6, 1125–1143. 2, 19, 20

[NBW14] L. NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT AND E. E. WITT: *Generalized Lyubeznik numbers*, to appear in Nagoya Mathematical Journal (2014), arXiv:1208.5500. 2, 5, 12, 15, 18

[Ogu73] A. OGUS: *Local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 327–365. 0506248 (58 #22059) 11

[Rei76] G. A. REISNER: *Cohen-Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 1, 30–49. 0407036 (53 #10819) 18

[Sch11] P. SCHENZEL: *On the structure of the endomorphism ring of a certain local cohomology module*, J. Algebra **344** (2011), 229–245. 2831938 (2012j:13026) 9

[SW11] A. K. SINGH AND U. WALTHER: *Bockstein homomorphisms in local cohomology*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **655** (2011), 147–164. 2806109 21

[Swi14] N. SWITALA: *Lyubeznik numbers for nonsingular projective varieties*, Preprint (2014), arXiv:1403.5776. 9

[Vas98] J. C. VASSILEV: *Test ideals in quotients of F-finite regular local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), no. 10, 4041–4051. 1458336 (98m:13009) 15

[Wal99] U. WALTHER: *Algorithmic computation of local cohomology modules and the local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **139** (1999), no. 1-3, 303–321, Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Saint-Malo, 1998). 1700548 (2000h:13012) 2, 6

[Wal01] U. WALTHER: *On the Lyubeznik numbers of a local ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 6, 1631–1634 (electronic). 1814090 (2001m:13026) 8

[Wal05] U. WALTHER: *Bernstein-Sato polynomial versus cohomology of the Milnor fiber for generic hyperplane arrangements*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 1, 121–145. 2099772 (2005k:32030) 15

[Yan01a] K. YANAGAWA: *Bass numbers of local cohomology modules with supports in monomial ideals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **131** (2001), no. 1, 45–60. 1833073 (2002c:13037) 2, 16, 17, 18

[Yan01b] K. YANAGAWA: *Sheaves on finite posets and modules over normal semigroup rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **161** (2001), no. 3, 341–366. 1836965 (2002k:13033) 16

[Zha07] W. ZHANG: *On the highest Lyubeznik number of a local ring*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 1, 82–88. 2295196 (2008g:13029) 2, 7

[Zha11] W. ZHANG: *Lyubeznik numbers of projective schemes*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 1, 575–616. 2822240 (2012j:13027) 2, 9

[Zha12] Y. ZHANG: *Graded F-modules and local cohomology*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **44** (2012), no. 4, 758–762. 2967243 16

[Zho98] C. ZHOU: *Higher derivations and local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra **201** (1998), no. 2, 363–372. 1612378 (99c:13038) 20

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22904, USA.
E-mail address: lcn8m@virginia.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA.
E-mail address: ewitt@umn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN NE 68588, USA.
E-mail address: wzhang15@unl.edu